1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 8 MMZ, by and through her guardian ad litem JAZMIN ZARATE, as successor Case No.: SACV15-0851 JLS (DFMx) [and other consolidated actions] in interest to ERNESTO CANEPA, deceased and JAZMIN ZARATE. PROTECTIVE ORDER FOR 10 JUANA DIAZ, ERNESTO CANEPA ALVAREZ, individually, TION OF ORANGE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY 11 INVESTIGATION REPORT Plaintiffs, 12 13 VS. CITY OF SANTA ANA, 14 CHRISTOPHER SHYNN, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, 15 Defendants. 16 17 AND ALL RELATED ACTIONS. 18 19 20 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, following stipulation of counsel, that: 21 In or about January 2016, the office of the Orange County District 1. 22 Attorney ("OCDA") completed its investigation report concerning the 23 investigation into the officer involved shooting that is at issue in these consolidated 24 lawsuits. This report contains numerous police reports, audio and transcribed 25 statements of Santa Ana Police Department ("SAPD") police offers (including 26 Officer Christopher Shynn), witness statements, reports of evidence analysis 27 prepared by the Orange County Crime Lab, autopsy reports, medical records of 28

[Proposed] PROTECTIVE ORDER FOR PRODUCTION OF ORANGE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY INVESTIGATION REPORT

9 10

8

12

11

14

13

16

15

17 18

19 20

21 22

24

23

26

25

27 28 decedent Ernesto Canepa, the criminal history index of decedent, the SAPD reports that pertain to this incident, among other items (collectively, "OCDA Report").

- 2. All documents produced by the City of Santa Ana that compromise the OCDA Report will be clearly designated as "CONFIDENTIAL" and be placed in an envelope labeled as such prior to the disclosure. The "CONFIDENTIAL" designation shall be placed on the printed pages of the OCDA Report in a manner that does not overwrite or make illegible the text of the document.
- 3. Each person receiving any of the OCDA Report shall not disclose to any person or entity, in any manner, including orally, any of the OCDA Report or any of the information contained therein, except when used for purposes of this litigation pursuant to this protective order.
- The OCDA Report and all information contained therein, may only be 4. disclosed to the following "qualified" persons:
 - (a) Counsel of record for the parties to this civil litigation;
- Plaintiffs to these consolidated actions, and Defendant City of Santa (b) Ana and its employees, including, but not limited to Officer Christopher Shynn;
- (c) Paralegal, stenographic, clerical and secretarial personnel regularly employed by counsel referred to in subparagraph (a); and, investigators, expert witnesses and other persons legitimately involved in litigation-related activities for the counsel of record; and
- (d) Court personnel, including stenographic reporters engaged in such proceedings as are necessarily incidental to preparation for the trial of this action.
- With the exception of the Court and court personnel (who are subject only to the Court's internal procedures regarding the handling of material filed or lodged, including material filed or lodged under seal), all persons receiving a copy of the OCDA Report shall, before receiving such protected information, be given a copy of this Protective Order and a compliance agreement (in the form attached hereto as Exhibit "A") and shall execute the compliance agreement, and return the

4

6

7

8 9

11

10

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

9.

- original of the compliance agreement to the attorney who gives him/her the protected information. It shall be the responsibility of the respective attorneys to distribute compliance agreements, and then collect and maintain custody of the executed originals of the compliance agreements.
- To the extent any portion of the OCDA Report contains an audio 5. recording, transcript and/or summary of a statement and/or report given to the OCDA by an independent witness who does not fall within one of the categories described in Paragraph 3 above, that selected portion of the OCDA Report may be provided to the particular witness to which it pertains.
- 6. The OCDA Report may be disclosed to the Court and court personnel, in connection with this litigation. Portions of the OCDA Report that a party intends to use in support of or in opposition to a pre-trial filing with the Court must be filed in accordance with the Central District of California Local Rules relating to under seal filings, including Local Rule 79-5. Counsel intending to use documents from OCDA Report must both (a) apply to submit unredacted documents containing any portion of the OCDA Report under seal and (b) file public versions of the same documents with the information from the OCDA Report redacted.
- 7. In the event this matter proceeds to trial, to the extent that any of the OCDA Report is offered into evidence, those documents will become public, unless sufficient cause is shown in advance of trial to proceed otherwise.
- 8. The court reporter, videographer, and audiographer, if any, who record all or part of any future deposition(s) in this matter, which include the OCDA Report or descriptions thereof, shall be subject to this Order and precluded from providing any portions of the original deposition videotape, audiotape, or exhibits which relate to the OCDA Report or information to any persons other than counsel of record, absent order of the court.
 - Those attending any future deposition(s) shall be bound by this Order

and, therefore, shall not disclose to any person or entity, in any manner, including orally, any documents from the OCDA Report made by such person during the course of said depositions.

- 10. At any future deposition(s), should there be persons in attendance who are not authorized to access to the OCDA Report or information, such persons shall be removed from the deposition room at any time information relating to the OCDA Report or protected information is disclosed or discussed.
- 11. The OCDA Report shall be used solely in connection with the preparation and trial of these consolidated actions, entitled with lead case M.M.Z., et al. v. City of Santa Ana, et al., bearing case number 8:15-cv-00851-JLS (DFMx), or any related appellate proceeding, and not for any other purpose, including, without limitation, any other litigation or administrative proceedings or any investigation related thereto. Upon entry of this Order all of the OCDA documents, recordings, records and things will immediately be produced. This Order shall not be construed to limit in any way the plaintiffs from requesting additional documents and things in discovery from the Defendants, the OCDA or any third party.
- 12. This Order may not be modified unless by written consent of the parties and approval of the Court. Any party may move for a modification of this Order at any time. Upon receipt and review of the documents produced pursuant to this protective order, any party may move to remove the confidential designation of any document after meeting and conferring with opposing counsel and pursuant to the procedures governing discovery motions set forth in Local Rule 37.
- 13. This Order is made for the purpose of ensuring that the OCDA Report will remain confidential, unless otherwise ordered by the Court or in response to a successful motion by a party made pursuant to Paragraph 12.
 - 14. At the conclusion of this litigation, upon request of defense counsel,

1	plaintiffs' counsel shall return the OCDA Report to Steven J. Rothans, Esq.,
2	Carpenter, Rothans & Dumont, 888 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 1960, Los Angeles,
3	California 90017. Alternatively, the receiving parties and every other person
4	and/or entity who received originals or copies of the protected information may
5	destroy all such material and material derived therefrom within thirty (30) calendar
6	days after the conclusion of this case. Additionally, within thirty (30) calendar
7	days after the conclusion of this case, counsel for the receiving parties shall send a
8	signed declaration stating that such material has been destroyed pursuant to this
9	Protective Order.
10	15. Nothing in this Order shall be construed as authorizing a party to
11	disobey a lawful subpoena issued in another action.
12	GOOD CAUSE
13	The parties submit that GOOD CAUSE exists to enter the proposed
14	protective order to balance the defendants' and the OCDA's concerns that the
15	documents consist of police reports and private information concerning the parties
16	to this litigation, as well as individuals who are not parties to this litigation, as
17	protected by the official information privilege, law enforcement privilege and the
18	right to privacy, as protected by the California and United States Constitution, with
19	plaintiffs' right to discovery in this litigation. The parties agree that all documents
20	marked confidential and produced pursuant to this protective order are subject to
21	the terms of this protective unless otherwise ordered by the Court.
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.
23	
24	X 2/2 ()
25	DATED: July 15, 2016
26	Honorable Douglas F. McCormick United States District Court
27	Magistrate Judge